

25X1

Approved For Release 2005/05/23 : CIA-RDP79R01099A002000010001-4

Next 2 Page(s) In Document Exempt

Approved For Release 2005/05/23 : CIA-RDP79R01099A002000010001-4

Fred:

Overall I find the OCI/INP Political draft a fine and flowing flower of lucidity, with which I have <sup>Frendian slip?</sup> little agreement. There are of course small differences of opinion that we all have as to whether this or that should have been given more emphasis. But in my case they are of little real contribution to the paper as it now reads. The following then are random comments of little things that bothered me:

- ✓ a. page 4 second full paragraph. Is not Asad already threatening to refuse to renew the UNDOF mandate - i.e., Syria's Formin statements to Waldheim. Whether such a threat is tied to his demand for setting a date for Geneva is only partially relevant.
- ✓ b. page 3 top line - The Secretary's last swing was the October message to Khalifah; being completed on Nov 7 or therabouts.

25X6

- 
- ✗ c. page 6 last paragraph. Shouldn't we add an although clause which reflects our agreed wheat deal with Egypt. That is, if the deal is firm.

✓ e. page 8, 5th line. Shouldn't that read towards settlement rather than to withdraw only. Rabat has emphasized that Egypt must also consider movement towards solutions other than just occupied territories.

✓ f. page 8 second paragraph. This is a bit more complicated. While agreeing that "Egypt will not move unilaterally towards a resumption of hostilities so long as etc." I doubt Sadat would take military action on his own in any event without some cooperation/coordination with Syria and possibly even Jordan or Iraq.



STAT